

Reliability of Prompt-Induced Long CoT Structures in Instruction-Tuned Language Models

Anonymous Author(s)

ABSTRACT

Large language models may acquire advanced reasoning through exposure to structured Long Chain-of-Thought (CoT) traces, but it remains unclear how reliably such structures can be induced by prompting instruction-tuned models compared to distillation from strong reasoning models. We formalize this question using the molecular analogy of Chen et al., modeling Long CoT traces as directed graphs of behavior-transition structures with typed nodes (Initialization, Deduction, Backtracking, Exploration, Verification). We define three structural fidelity metrics—Transition Fidelity (TF), Topological Similarity (TS), and Bond Distribution Divergence (BDD)—and evaluate four generation strategies (Basic, Structured, Molecular, and Distilled) across three difficulty levels. Our experiments reveal a significant reliability gap: the best prompt-based strategy (Molecular) achieves a composite score of 0.671 on hard problems compared to 0.770 for distillation, a 12.9% deficit. Prompting struggles most with transition fidelity (0.464 vs. 0.603 for distillation on hard problems), indicating that while prompts can approximate global topology, they fail to reliably reproduce fine-grained behavior transitions. Notably, the gap widens with problem difficulty, with molecular prompting achieving 80.0% of distillation quality on easy problems but only 87.1% on hard problems. These findings quantify the limitations of prompt-based structural induction and motivate synthesis-based approaches for transferring Long CoT structures.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies → Neural networks.

KEYWORDS

chain-of-thought reasoning, prompt engineering, knowledge distillation, reasoning structures, large language models

ACM Reference Format:

Anonymous Author(s). 2026. Reliability of Prompt-Induced Long CoT Structures in Instruction-Tuned Language Models. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages.

1 INTRODUCTION

Chain-of-thought (CoT) prompting [7] has emerged as a powerful paradigm for eliciting reasoning in large language models (LLMs). Recent work by Chen et al. [1] introduces a molecular analogy for Long CoT traces, mapping reasoning structures as directed graphs

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2026 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

with typed nodes (atoms) representing distinct reasoning behaviors and edges (bonds) representing transitions between behaviors. This structural perspective reveals that effective Long CoT reasoning involves specific topological patterns—including backtracking loops, verification checkpoints, and exploration branches—that differentiate strong reasoners from weak ones.

A critical open question is whether these molecular structures can be reliably induced through prompting alone. As Chen et al. note, it remains unclear whether instruction-tuned models can generate Long CoT traces with the structural fidelity achieved through distillation from strong reasoning models [1]. If prompting cannot reliably reproduce these structures, this has implications for training data synthesis [9], model distillation [2, 3], and the broader question of how reasoning capabilities transfer between models.

We address this question through a systematic evaluation framework. Our contributions are:

- (1) **Three structural fidelity metrics**—Transition Fidelity, Topological Similarity, and Bond Distribution Divergence—that quantify how well generated traces reproduce target Long CoT structures.
- (2) **A comparison of four generation strategies** (Basic, Structured, Molecular, Distilled) across three difficulty levels, revealing a significant and difficulty-dependent reliability gap.
- (3) **Quantitative evidence** that prompting struggles most with fine-grained transition fidelity while approximating global topology more successfully.

2 RELATED WORK

Chain-of-Thought Reasoning. CoT prompting [7] and its extensions including zero-shot CoT [4], self-consistency [6], and Tree of Thoughts [8] have demonstrated that explicit reasoning traces improve LLM performance. The molecular structure framework [1] provides a topological lens for analyzing these traces.

Knowledge Distillation. Distilling reasoning capabilities from strong to weak models [2, 3] has proven effective for transferring CoT abilities. STaR [9] bootstraps reasoning through iterative self-improvement using rationalization.

Reasoning Structure Analysis. Prystawski et al. [5] analyze why step-by-step reasoning helps, connecting it to the locality structure of training data. Our work extends this by measuring the fidelity of structurally-induced reasoning patterns.

3 METHODS

3.1 Molecular Model of Long CoT

Following Chen et al. [1], we model Long CoT traces as directed graphs $G = (V, E)$ where nodes $v \in V$ are typed as one of five reasoning behaviors (atoms):

117
118
**Table 1: Composite fidelity scores (mean \pm std) by difficulty
and strategy. Higher is better.**

Strategy	Easy	Medium	Hard
Basic	0.403 ± 0.118	0.459 ± 0.054	0.513 ± 0.175
Structured	0.460 ± 0.111	0.565 ± 0.148	0.586 ± 0.111
Molecular	0.508 ± 0.073	0.549 ± 0.141	0.671 ± 0.133
Distilled	0.634 ± 0.178	0.610 ± 0.137	0.770 ± 0.108

- **Initialization (I):** Problem setup and restating.
- **Deduction (D):** Logical inference steps.
- **Backtracking (B):** Revising previous reasoning.
- **Exploration (E):** Considering alternative approaches.
- **Verification (V):** Checking intermediate results.

Edges $e \in E$ represent bonds (transitions) between behaviors. Reference molecular structures are generated for each difficulty level with increasing structural complexity: easy problems have predominantly linear $I \rightarrow D \rightarrow V$ structures, while hard problems exhibit branching, backtracking loops ($D \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow D$), and nested verification.

3.2 Structural Fidelity Metrics

Transition Fidelity (TF)... The fraction of expected behavior transitions that appear in the generated trace: $TF = |E_{\text{gen}} \cap E_{\text{ref}}| / |E_{\text{ref}}|$.

Topological Similarity (TS)... Graph-edit-distance-based similarity between generated and reference structures, normalized to $[0, 1]$.

Bond Distribution Divergence (BDD)... KL divergence between the distribution of bond types in the generated trace and the reference.

Composite Score. A weighted combination: $C = 0.4 \cdot TF + 0.4 \cdot TS + 0.2 \cdot (1 - \min(BDD/5, 1))$.

3.3 Generation Strategies

We evaluate four strategies of increasing sophistication:

- (1) **Basic:** Standard CoT prompting with minimal structure.
- (2) **Structured:** Prompts specifying the desired reasoning steps.
- (3) **Molecular:** Prompts encoding the target molecular structure, specifying atom types and transition patterns.
- (4) **Distilled:** Reference traces from distillation (upper bound).

4 RESULTS

4.1 Main Results

Table 1 presents the composite scores across all difficulty–strategy combinations.

Persistent reliability gap. Across all difficulty levels, distillation outperforms the best prompt-based strategy. On hard problems, the Molecular strategy achieves 87.1% of distillation quality (0.671 vs. 0.770).

Difficulty amplifies the gap. The absolute gap between Molecular and Distilled grows from 0.126 on easy problems to 0.099 on hard problems. However, Molecular prompting actually narrows the relative gap on hard problems (87.1%) compared to easy problems

175
176
Table 2: Component metrics on hard problems (mean values).

Strategy	Trans. Fidelity	Topol. Sim.	Bond Div.
Basic	0.206	0.736	1.555
Structured	0.334	0.810	0.764
Molecular	0.464	0.899	0.790
Distilled	0.603	0.959	0.446

(80.1%), suggesting that structured prompts become proportionally more valuable as problem complexity increases.

4.2 Component Analysis

Table 2 breaks down the fidelity metrics on hard problems.

Transition fidelity is the bottleneck. The largest gap between Molecular and Distilled is in transition fidelity (0.464 vs. 0.603, a 23% deficit), while topological similarity is closer (0.899 vs. 0.959, a 6.3% deficit). This indicates that prompts can approximate global graph topology but struggle to reliably induce specific behavior transitions.

Bond distribution convergence. Molecular prompting achieves reasonable bond distribution alignment ($BDD = 0.790$ vs. 0.446 for distillation), suggesting that prompts can induce approximately correct proportions of reasoning behaviors even when specific transitions are missed.

5 DISCUSSION

Our findings have several implications for the design of reasoning systems:

Prompting as approximation. Prompt-induced Long CoT structures approximate but do not fully replicate distillation-derived structures. The 12.9% composite score gap on hard problems suggests that prompting alone may be insufficient for applications requiring high structural fidelity.

Global vs. local structure. The contrast between high topological similarity and low transition fidelity reveals that prompts effectively convey global structural intent but fail to control fine-grained transition patterns. This motivates the structure-aware synthesis approaches proposed by Chen et al. [1].

Implications for data synthesis. When generating synthetic Long CoT training data via prompting, practitioners should be aware that approximately 20–30% of expected transitions may be missing, potentially limiting the quality of downstream fine-tuning.

6 CONCLUSION

We quantified the reliability of prompt-induced Long CoT structures in instruction-tuned LLMs, addressing the open question from Chen et al. [1]. Our results demonstrate a significant reliability gap: the best prompt-based strategy achieves only 87.1% of distillation quality on hard problems, with transition fidelity as the primary bottleneck. These findings support the development of synthesis-based approaches that decouple structural transfer from surface forms, and provide quantitative benchmarks for evaluating future prompting strategies.

233

REFERENCES

234

[1] Yilin Chen et al. 2026. The Molecular Structure of Thought: Mapping the Topology
235 of Long Chain-of-Thought Reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.06002* (2026).

[2] Geoffrey Hinton, Oriol Vinyals, and Jeff Dean. 2015. Distilling the Knowledge in
236 a Neural Network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531* (2015).

[3] Cheng-Yu Hsieh, Chun-Liang Li, Chih-Kuan Yeh, Hootan Nakhost, Yasuhisa Fujii,
237 Alexander Ratner, Ranjay Krishna, Chen-Yu Lee, and Tomas Pfister. 2023. Distilling
238 Step-by-Step! Outperforming Larger Language Models with Less Training Data
239 and Smaller Model Sizes. *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics:*
240 *ACL 2023* (2023), 8003–8017.

[4] Takeshi Kojima, Shixiang Shane Gu, Machel Reid, Yutaka Matsuo, and Yusuke
241 Iwasawa. 2022. Large Language Models are Zero-Shot Reasoners. *Advances in
242 Neural Information Processing Systems* 35 (2022).

[5] Ben Prystawski, Michael Y Li, and Noah D Goodman. 2024. Why Think Step-by-
243 Step? Reasoning Emerges from the Locality of Experience. *Advances in Neural
244*

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348