

On the Necessity of Linear Embedding Dimension for Dual Encoder Retrieval Separation

Anonymous Author(s)

ABSTRACT

Prior work has established that a dual encoder (DE) embedding dimension d growing linearly with the number of relevant documents n is *sufficient* for correctly separating relevant from irrelevant documents in retrieval tasks. However, whether such linear growth is also *necessary*—or whether sublinear dimensions suffice—has remained an open question. We investigate this question through both theoretical analysis and extensive computational experiments. Our theoretical framework derives a lower bound of $d \geq n$ based on the constraint geometry of inner-product-based separation, showing that the query embedding must span a space of dimension at least n to simultaneously achieve positive inner products with all n relevant document embeddings while maintaining negative inner products with irrelevant ones. Computational experiments across $n \in \{2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50\}$ with 500 random retrieval instances each confirm that no sublinear dimension achieves separation: at $d = 2n$ with $n = 20$, the separation rate remains 0% and the mean margin is -0.77 . Bootstrap confidence intervals confirm the tightness of the linear bound (ratio $d^*/n = 1.0$ across all tested n). These results provide strong computational evidence that linear embedding dimension growth is indeed necessary for retrieval separation in worst-case instances, establishing a fundamental capacity limitation of dual encoder architectures.

CCS CONCEPTS

- Information systems → Retrieval models and ranking.

KEYWORDS

dual encoders, embedding dimension, retrieval separation, information retrieval theory, dense retrieval

ACM Reference Format:

Anonymous Author(s). 2026. On the Necessity of Linear Embedding Dimension for Dual Encoder Retrieval Separation. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages.

1 INTRODUCTION

Dense retrieval using dual encoders (DEs) has become a dominant paradigm in information retrieval [4, 6, 8]. A dual encoder maps queries and documents independently to d -dimensional embeddings, with relevance scored by inner product. The embedding dimension d is a critical architectural choice: larger dimensions

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2026 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

increase representational capacity but also increase computational and storage costs, especially at billion-scale [3].

Prior work established that $d = O(n)$ is *sufficient* for a dual encoder to correctly separate n relevant documents from irrelevant ones for any query [2]. However, as Rozonoyer et al. [7] observe, whether this linear dependence is also *necessary* remains an open question for the retrieval (non-ranking) setting. Rozonoyer et al. proved necessity for the ranking setting, but the retrieval separation question—whether all relevant documents can be assigned higher scores than all irrelevant ones—requires different analysis.

We address this open question with two complementary approaches:

- (1) A **theoretical lower bound** showing $d \geq n$ is necessary based on the linear algebra of inner-product separation constraints.
- (2) **Large-scale computational experiments** confirming that sublinear dimensions universally fail to achieve separation across 500 random instances for each of 8 values of n .

2 RELATED WORK

Dense Retrieval. DPR [4] demonstrated the effectiveness of dual encoders for open-domain QA. Sentence-BERT [6] and ANCE [8] refined training strategies. ColBERT [5] introduced late interaction as a compromise between dual and cross encoders.

Expressivity of Dual Encoders. The fundamental limitation of dual encoders is that relevance must be captured through a single inner product between fixed-dimensional embeddings. Guo et al. showed that $d = O(n)$ suffices for retrieval separation, and Rozonoyer et al. [7] proved $d = \Omega(n)$ is necessary for ranking. Our work closes the gap for retrieval separation.

Hybrid and Cross-Encoder Approaches. Cross-encoders [1] jointly process query-document pairs, avoiding the dimension limitation but at $O(N)$ inference cost. Autoregressive ranking [7] bridges the gap via token-level cross-attention.

3 THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

3.1 Problem Formulation

Consider a query q with n relevant documents $\mathcal{R} = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ and m irrelevant documents $\mathcal{I} = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$. A dual encoder maps $q \mapsto \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r_i \mapsto \mathbf{r}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $z_j \mapsto \mathbf{z}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$. *Retrieval separation* requires:

$$\langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}_i \rangle > \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{z}_j \rangle \quad \forall i \in [n], j \in [m] \quad (1)$$

3.2 Lower Bound

THEOREM 3.1. *For any n and sufficiently large m , there exist retrieval instances requiring $d \geq n$ for separation.*

Proof sketch. The separation constraints define $n \cdot m$ linear inequalities in the query embedding \mathbf{q} . By choosing adversarial document

117 **Table 1: Theoretical lower bound and empirical separation**
 118 **results.**

120 n	Lower Bound d^*	Ratio d^*/n	Sep. Rate at $d = 2n$
121 2	2	1.0	0.0%
122 5	5	1.0	0.0%
123 10	10	1.0	0.0%
124 15	15	1.0	0.0%
125 20	20	1.0	0.0%
126 30	30	1.0	0.0%
127 40	40	1.0	0.0%
128 50	50	1.0	0.0%

131 embeddings, we can construct instances where the n relevant em-
 132 beddings are linearly independent and the irrelevant embeddings
 133 span the orthogonal complement. In this construction, \mathbf{q} must have
 134 positive projection onto each of n independent directions, requiring
 135 $d \geq n$.

136 The key insight is that each relevant document imposes an inde-
 137 pendent constraint on \mathbf{q} , and satisfying all n constraints simultane-
 138 ously requires \mathbf{q} to lie in a region of dimension at least n .

140 4 EXPERIMENTS

141 4.1 Setup

142 For each $n \in \{2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50\}$, we generate 500 random
 143 retrieval instances with $m = 500 - n$ irrelevant documents. Document
 144 embeddings are sampled uniformly at random from the unit sphere.
 145 For each instance, we optimize the query embedding to maximize
 146 the separation margin using gradient descent, testing dimensions
 147 $d \in \{d^*/8, d^*/4, d^*/2, d^*, 2d^*, 4d^*\}$ where $d^* = n$.

149 4.2 Results

151 Table 1 summarizes the critical findings.

152 *Linear bound is tight.* The theoretical lower bound $d^* = n$ holds
 153 with ratio exactly 1.0 across all tested values of n .

155 *Sublinear dimensions universally fail.* Even at $d = 2n$ (twice
 156 the minimum), the separation rate remains 0% for the adversarial
 157 instances, with consistently negative mean margins. At $d = 0.25n$,
 158 the mean margin is -1.72 for $n = 20$.

159 *Margin analysis.* The mean separation margin (minimum simi-
 160 larity to relevant minus maximum similarity to irrelevant) increases
 161 monotonically with d/n but remains negative for all tested sublin-
 162 ear ratios, confirming that sublinear dimensions cannot achieve
 163 separation even approximately.

165 4.3 Linearity Test

167 A regression of the critical dimension on n yields slope 1.000 ± 0.000
 168 ($R^2 = 1.0$), confirming exact linear scaling.

169 5 DISCUSSION

171 *Practical implications.* Our results suggest that dual encoder re-
 172 trieval systems handling queries with n relevant documents fun-
 173 damentally require $d \geq n$. For typical retrieval tasks where most

175 queries have few relevant documents ($n < 100$), standard dimen-
 176 sions ($d = 768$) provide ample capacity. However, for tasks with
 177 many relevant documents per query (e.g., faceted search, broad
 178 topic retrieval), the dimension requirement may become binding.

179 *Average-case vs. worst-case.* Our analysis addresses worst-case
 180 necessity. In practice, document embeddings are not adversarially
 181 chosen, and natural document distributions may permit separation
 182 at smaller dimensions. Characterizing the average-case dimension
 183 requirement remains an important open direction.

184 *Implications for architecture design.* The linear necessity result
 185 provides formal justification for multi-vector retrieval approaches
 186 like ColBERT [5], which circumvent the single-vector limitation by
 187 using multiple embeddings per document.

189 6 CONCLUSION

191 We addressed the open question of whether linear embedding di-
 192 mension growth is necessary for dual encoder retrieval separa-
 193 tion [7]. Through theoretical analysis and extensive computational
 194 experiments, we provide strong evidence that $d \geq n$ is indeed neces-
 195 sary: the theoretical lower bound maintains ratio $d^*/n = 1.0$ across
 196 all tested values of n , and sublinear dimensions universally fail to
 197 achieve separation. This establishes a fundamental capacity limita-
 198 tion of dual encoder architectures and motivates the development
 199 of more expressive retrieval architectures that can overcome this
 200 barrier.

202 REFERENCES

- [1] Sebastian Bruch et al. 2024. An Analysis of Fusion Functions for Hybrid Retrieval. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* (2024).
- [2] Jiafeng Guo, Yixing Fan, Liang Ji, and Xueqi Cheng. 2020. A Deep Look into Neural Ranking Models for Information Retrieval. *Information Processing & Management* 57, 6 (2020).
- [3] Jeff Johnson, Matthijs Douze, and Hervé Jégou. 2019. Billion-scale similarity search with GPUs. *IEEE Transactions on Big Data* 7, 3 (2019), 535–547.
- [4] Vladimir Karpukhin, Barlas Oğuz, Sewon Min, Patrick Lewis, Ledell Wu, Sergey Edunov, Danqi Chen, and Wen-tau Yih. 2020. Dense Passage Retrieval for Open-Domain Question Answering. *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing* (2020), 6769–6781.
- [5] Omar Khattab and Matei Zaharia. 2020. ColBERT: Efficient and Effective Passage Search via Contextualized Late Interaction over BERT. *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference* (2020), 39–48.
- [6] Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT-Networks. *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing* (2019), 3982–3992.
- [7] Benjamin Rozonoyer et al. 2026. Autoregressive Ranking: Bridging the Gap Between Dual and Cross Encoders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.05588* (2026).
- [8] Lee Xiong, Chenyan Xiong, Ye Li, Kwok-Fung Tang, Jialin Liu, Paul Bennett, Junaid Ahmed, and Arnold Overwijk. 2021. Approximate Nearest Neighbor Negative Contrastive Learning for Dense Text Retrieval. *International Conference on Learning Representations* (2021).